

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE P.O. BOX 1450 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov pw oct-06

COPY MAILED

OCT 1 1 2006

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

WOLFF & SAMSON, P.C. ONE BOLAND DRIVE WEST ORANGE NJ 07052

In re Application of

Samuel Chase :

Application No. 10/600,313

Filed: 20 June, 2003

Atty Docket No. 9388/2/1

DECISION ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed on 21 July, 2006, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is DISMISSED.

Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR 1.137(b)." This is not a final agency decision.

This application became abandoned on 15 March, 2005, for failure to timely submit corrected drawings in response to the Notice of Allowability, mailed on 14 December, 2004. Notice of Abandonment was mailed on 2 March, 2006.

Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now proxde that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). Agrantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

⁽¹⁾ the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continued examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof. In an application abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the publication fee.

⁽²⁾ the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

⁽³⁾ a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may required addition information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

⁽⁴⁾ any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

The petition lacks the required reply. The drawings filed with the petition on 21 July, 2006, are objected to by Draftsperson under 37 CFR 1.84 or 1.152. A copy of the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review is enclosed for petitioner's reference.

Petitioner should file a renewed petition accompanied by corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 and 1.182.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:

Mail Stop Petition

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By FAX:

(571) 273-8300

Attn: Office of Petitions

By hand:

Customer Service Window

Mail Stop Petition Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3231.

N Wood

Douglas I. Wood

Senior Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions

Encl: Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review

NOTICE OF DRAFTSPERSON'S PATENT DRAWING REVIEW